

Mirror, mirror on the wall,
who in this land is the fairest of all ?
Revisiting the extended concentration index

Guido Erreygers

Philip Clarke

Tom Van Ourti

Discussant: Clément de Chaisemartin

.....

The 2010 IRDES Workshop on Applied Health Economics and Policy Evaluation

24-25 June 2010 - Paris - France

www.irdes.fr/Workshop2010

Strengths of the paper

- Convincing discussion on why an index of socio-economic inequality of health should have the « mirror » and the symmetry properties:
 - ∅ good versus ill health
 - ∅ symmetric distribution of health around the median of income is not unequal
- Nice « axiomatic » derivations of sufficient and necessary conditions on the weighting and normalizing functions so that the index has those properties.
- Interesting developments on how to correct small sample biases with such indexes.

Some justification on why you choose a given index would be appreciated

- Great effort made by the authors to define a class of indexes verifying the symmetry and mirror properties.
- Authors pick up one index in the « mirror » group of indexes, and one in the « mirror + symmetry ».
- => a bit puzzling for the reader: very rigorous axiomatization and then you pick up one « arbitrarily »
- But there are plenty other indexes possible. For instance, regarding symmetry, $\ln(1+((p-1/2)^2)^\alpha)(2p-1)$ is another possible weighting function.
- I recognize the $\ln(1+((p-1/2)^2)^\alpha)(2p-1)$ example is somewhat stupid but some more discussion on why you specifically choose $((p-1/2)^2)^\alpha)(2p-1)$ would be appreciated.

Convince the reader that new indexes change something in assessment of health inequality

- Changing the value of distributional judgement parameters (v and α) change the absolute value of the indexes.
- But hardly changes country rankings (some exceptions: Brazil)
- Hardly changes assessment of whether inequality = pro-poor or pro-rich (some exceptions: Mozambique).
- In my view, most interesting contribution of the paper = symmetric index. But hardly any discussion on the extent to which using it changes rankings .

Conclusion: deepen the empirical analysis

- => in my view, to do justice to the very interesting theoretical contributions of the paper, need to deepen the empirical analysis, and demonstrate why using the symmetric index makes a difference wrt previous indexes.
- Deepening the analysis of country ranking in terms of socio-economic health inequality ?
- Other idea: analysis of the evolution of socio-economic health inequality in a given country.